

1	United States Attorney DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814		
2			
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-189-DJC	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	AARON IRIBE, and DANIEL LOPEZ,	DATE: September 7, 2023	
15	ŕ	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	STIPU	LATION	
19	1. By previous order, this matter was	s set for status on September 7, 2023.	
20	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until October		
21	5, 2023, and to exclude time between September 7, 2023, and October 5, 2023, under Local Code T4.		
22	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
23	a) The government has repre-	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
24	includes approximately 148 pages of reports, search warrants, and other documents, as well as		
25	multiple audio and video recordings and the contents of seized cell phones. All of this discovery		
26	has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		
27	b) On October 11, 2022, defe	endant Daniel Lopez made his initial appearance in this	
28	district and attorney Mark Reichel was appointed to represent him. ECF No. 59.		

Case 2:21-cr-00189-DJC Document 97 Filed 08/31/23 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

- c) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to review this discovery, conduct factual investigation, evaluate the charges and potential defenses, consult with their respective clients, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- d) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 7, 2023 to October 5, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: August 30, 2023

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER
DAVID W. SPENCER
Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:21-cr-00189-DJC Document 97 Filed 08/31/23 Page 3 of 3

	Dated: August 30, 2023	/s/ Jennifer Mouzis
1	Dated. August 50, 2025	Jennifer Mouzis Counsel for Defendant
2		AARON IRIBE
3	Dated: August 30, 2023	/s/ Mark Reichel Mark Reichel
4		Counsel for Defendant DANIEL LOPEZ
5		DITIVIED DOT EE
6		
7		ORDER
8	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 30th day of August, 2023.	
9		
10	Dated: August 30, 2023	/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta
11		THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		